•	
1	Paper No. 71
2 3 4 5 6	Filed by:
4	Merits Panel
5 6	Interference Trial Division Mail Stop Interference
7	P.O. Box 1450 Filed: September 25, 2008
8	Alexandria Va 22313-1450 Tel: 571-272-4683
10	Fax: 571-273-0042
11	INITED OTATES DATENT AND TO A DEMANDE OFFICE
12	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
13	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
14	AND INTERFERENCES
15	CADVE WINEW AND SCOTT C ELIDENDED C
16	GARY E. WNEK AND SCOTT G. EHRENBERG,
17	Junior Party (Potent No. 6 412 208)
18	(Patent No. 6,413,298),
19	••
20 21	· V.
21	GREGORY M. DOBBS AND JAMES D. FREIHAUT
23	Senior Party
24	(Application No. 10/608,809).
25	(Application No. 10/008,809).
26	Patent Interference No. 105,470 (MPT)
27	(Technology Center 1700)
28	(Teemlology center 1700)
29	Judgment – Preliminary Motions - Bd. R. 127
30	Judgment - Fremmaly Motions - Bu. R. 127
31	Before RICHARD E. SCHAFER, MICHAEL P. TIERNEY, and
32	JAMES T. MOORE, Administrative Patent Judges.
33	vialed 1. Nio oile, flammon anve 1 alom buages.
34	TIERNEY, Administrative Patent Judge.
35	
36	The Board has entered a Decision on Motions in this interference.
37	(Paper 70). Dobbs provoked the present interference with Wnek's '298
38	patent by requesting that the Board declare an interference between Wnek's
39	'298 patent claims and Dobbs' claims 37-59, which were specifically added
40	to Dobbs' involved '809 application in order to provoke the interference.

1	Amendment and Response filed February 22, 2005). As discussed in the
2	Decision, all of Dobbs involved claims are unpatentable for lack of
3	sufficient written description. Under the facts of this case, Dobbs' lack of
4	written description under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st paragraph represents a
5	threshold issue. 37 C.F.R. § 41.201. Accordingly, judgment on priority of
6	invention is awarded against Dobbs.
7	It is:
8	ORDERED that judgment be entered against senior party Dobbs for
9	Count 1, the sole count in interference. (Paper 1, p. 4).
0	FURTHER ORDERED that claims 37-59 of Dobbs' involved '809
1	application be FINALLY REFUSED, 35 U.S.C. § 135(a).
2	FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this judgment be entered in
3	the administrative records of the involved Dobbs '809 application and
4	involved Wnek '298 patent.
5	
.6	/Richard E. Schafer/ RICHARD E. SCHAFER Administrative Patent Judge BOARD OF PATENT
	/Michael P. Tierney/ APPEALS AND MICHAEL P. TIERNEY) Administrative Patent Judge) INTERFERENCES
	/James T. Moore /) JAMES T. MOORE) Administrative Patent Judge)

cc (via electronic filing):

Counsel for Wnek:

John T. Callahan, Esq. SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20037-3202

Tel: 202-293-7060

Email: <u>jcallahan@sughrue.com</u> Email: sxlee@sughrue.com

Counsel for Dobbs:

Joseph B. Milstein, Ph.D., Esq. WALL MARJAMA & BILINSKI LLP 101 South Salina Street, Suite 400 Syracuse, N.Y. 13202

Tel: 315-425-9000

Email: jmilstein@wallmarjama.com

cc (via electronic filing):

Counsel for Wnek:

John T. Callahan, Esq. SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20037-3202

Tel: 202-293-7060

Email: <u>jcallahan@sughrue.com</u> Email: sxlee@sughrue.com

Counsel for Dobbs:

Joseph B. Milstein, Ph.D., Esq. WALL MARJAMA & BILINSKI LLP 101 South Salina Street, Suite 400 Syracuse, N.Y. 13202

Tel: 315-425-9000

Email: jmilstein@wallmarjama.com

Despertt, Sonja

From:

Despertt, Sonja on behalf of Interference Trial Section

Sent:

Thursday, September 25, 2008 4:46 PM

To:

'pjb@wallmarjama.com'; 'jmuldoon@wallmarjama.com'; Callahan, John T.;

'sxlee@sughrue.com'

Subject:

Interference 105470 (MPTj) Paper No 71 - Judgment-Preliminary Motions-Bd.R. 127

Attachments: 105470.071.pdf-